

UNITED STATE PEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/408,921	09/30/9·	9 RICCI		А	LAM1P118
022434 IM22/0627 BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P O BOX 130 MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94042-0130			一		EXAMINER
				POWEL	L,A
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1763	5
				DATE MAILED:	_
					06/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks



Office Action Summary

olication	No.	Appli

icant(s) 09/408,921

Examiner

Powell, A.

Group Art Unit

1763

Ricci, et al



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowand in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i> (ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
is longer, from the mailing date of this communicati	ction is set to expire <u>three</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever ion. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the 33). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 19-37	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
🛛 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
\square The proposed drawing correction, filed on $_$	is 🗆 approved 🗖 disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.
$\ \square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFI	ED copies of the priority documents have been
received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Cod	
	on from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 25 ILS C & 110(a)
•	mestic priority under 35 0.3.c. 3 113(e).
Attachment(s)	
☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-144	10 Paper No(c)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	+3, 1 aper 110(3)
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	ew, PTO-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15	
SEE OFFICE A	ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, are drawn to apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345.
 - II. Claims 19-34, are drawn to method, classified in class 428, subclass 64.1.
 - III. Claims 35-37, are drawn to process for the use thereof, classified in class 432, subclass 1+.
- 2. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions.
- 3. Inventions II, III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as vapor deposition.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1763

5. During a telephone conversation with W. Plut on 6/13/00 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19-37 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CAR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. The term "consistent reactivity" in claim 1 and 12 is an relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "constant reactivity" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Art Unit: 1763

10. The term "always" in claim 5 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "always" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 12. Claims 1, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foster et al (5,273,588).

As to claims 1 and 11-13, Foster et al disclose a semiconductor wafer processing apparatus having a showerhead 35 (gas distribution plate) with a uniform pattern of holes 36 therethrough to direct a gas mixture onto a wafer. (Fig. 4; abstract; col. 10, lines 9-25) The showerhead may be made of machinable ceramic material and has a highly polished lower surface to retard the absorption of radiant heat from the higher reaction temperature from the area of a

Art Unit: 1763

wafer being processed within the chamber 25. Components of semiconductor apparatuses inherently are resistive to the process chemistry used.

13. Claim 1 and 9-13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhao et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,994,678).

Zhao et al disclose a ceramic material (e.g. AlN) for a semiconductor processing chamber for high temperatures above 400°C and corrosive plasma environments. (col. 18, lines 57-58). The shape of the ceramic component can be modified by grinding and drilling. (Col. 22, lines 25-30). Components of semiconductor apparatuses inherently are resistive to the process chemistry used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al (5,273,588) in view of Zhao et al (U.S.. Pat. No. 5,994,678).

Foster et al and Zhao et al are discussed above.

Foster et al and Zhao et al do not disclose a semiconductor etch machine.

Art Unit: 1763

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the semiconductor wafer processing apparatus of Foster et al or Zhao et al can be used as a semiconductor etch machine by the application of the process chemistry.

The motivation for doing so would have been to etch semiconductor wafer within the processing chamber of the apparatus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the semiconductor processing apparatus of Foster et al or Zhao et al for a semiconductor etch machine.

16. Claims 2-4, 6-8 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al. in view of Goldstein et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,494,439).

Foster et al do not disclose expressly defect particles or a temperature of 1500°C to 1600°C for pretreating the gas distribution plate.

Goldstein et al disclose the fabrication of a ceramic components for use in an IC manufacturing process. (col. 3, lines 1-5).

As to claims 2-4 and 6-8, Goldstein discloses an ultraclean material reduces the contamination (defect particles) on wafers (abstract; col. 1, lines 40-49).

As to claims 15-18, the ceramic component is heated to a temperature above 600°C for a period of 12-16 hours to remove impurities from the ceramic component. (Fig. 3; Col. 6, lines 34-45).

It would have been obvious to combine the fabrication of a ceramic component of Goldstein et al with the gas distribution plate of Foster et al.

Application/Control Number: 09408921

Art Unit: 1763

The motivation for doing so would have been to remove impurities from the component so that semiconductor wafers would not be contaminated when the component is used within the processing chamber of a semiconductor apparatus.

Page 7

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Foster et al with Goldstein et al to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2-4, 6-8 and 15-18.

17. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al in view of Goldstein et al.

Foster et al and Goldstein et al are discussed above.

Foster et al and Goldstein et al does not disclose expressly 0.1 defect particles per square centimeter.

It would have been obvious to reduce the defect particles of the semiconductor wafer by using ultraclean components within the processing chamber.

The amount of contamination deposited on the semiconductor wafer is obvious because it result effective variable *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims *In re Young*, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use an ultraclean component to reduce the particle defects of the semiconductor wafer.

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alva C. Powell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0541.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Alva Ctroull
Alva C. Powell

June 26, 2000

JECCRIE R LOND Primary Examina